A Study of brick mortar using sawdust as partial replacement of sand

2 authors:

Jonathan Sasah
Accra Technical University
5 PUBLICATIONS   **21** CITATIONS

SEE PROFILE

Charles K Kankam
Kwame Nkrumah University Of Science and Technology
92 PUBLICATIONS   **579** CITATIONS

SEE PROFILE

Some of the authors of this publication are also working on these related projects:

Project   Masonry products comprising concrete blocks View project

Project   Blended cement View project

Full Length Research Paper

Study of brick mortar using sawdust as partial replacement for sand

Jonathan Sasah[1]* and Charles K. Kankam[2]

[1]Department of Civil Engineering, KAAF University College, Budumbura, Ghana.
[2]Department of Civil Engineering, Kwame Nkrumah University of Science and Technology, Kumasi, Ghana.

The paper reports results of study on standard masonry mortar containing sand and sawdust as aggregates in a mix proportion of 1:3 and water-cement ratio of 0.55. A modified mortar of same design mix proportion (1:3) but varying water/cement ratio and constant slump of 74.3 mm to achieve higher workability was also evaluated. Six different percentages (5, 10, 15, 20, 30 and 50%) of sand replacement were investigated. The flexural tensile strength, compressive strength, dry density, masonry wallet compressive strength, water absorption and slump were evaluated. The British code recommended masonry wallet compressive strength of 5.3 N/mm^2 was achieved with 8 and 13% sawdust contents in the standard and modified mortars, respectively. Such mortars can be used as jointing and rendering materials on interior walls of buildings where water absorption by the mortar would be reduced.

Key words: Sawdust, mortar, wallet, masonry compressive strength, water absorption.

INTRODUCTION

The demand for new building structures in developing countries is exceedingly high due to ever-increasing population growth. This demand cannot be met as the cost of construction is untenable due to the ever-increasing cost of building materials. Construction depends heavily on conventional materials such as cement, sand and stones for the production of mortar and concrete. Their ever-increasing costs has led to research into the use of alternative locally available building materials, especially wastes from industry, building construction and agricultural activities. Quarry dust (Galetakis et al., 2016), glass powder (Afshinnia and Rangaraju, 2016), laterite (Falade, 2001), wood ash (Cheah and Ramli, 2011), rice husk ash (Antiohos et al., 2014), coconut shells (Ali et al., 2013), palm kernel shells (Acheampong et al., 2016) and concrete wastes from demolition (Gastaldi et al., 2015) are a few of the materials which have been studied. In spite of the numerous publications on wood/cement composites such as Berra et al. (2015), Dilip et al. (2014), Horsakulthai et al. (2011), Ramos et al. (2013), Sarkar et al. (2012), Torkaman et al. (2014), Turgut (2007) and Yong et al. (2013), none seems to address the possibility of using sawdust-mortar as masonry mortar. A study by Jelle et al. (2001) revealed that a small wood village in Kumasi of Ghana alone generates 100-150 metric tonnes of sawdust

per day. On a larger scale, the annual wood waste generated from the timber industry in Ghana is about 0.8 million m^3 which accounts for 62% of the initial wood input to the timber industry.

Sawdust is usually disposed of by open burning, producing harmful smoke that threatens human health. A more environmentally desirable way of disposing it is to use it in cement composites. The overwhelming volumes of sawdust generated as waste from the timber industry could be used as a partial replacement of fine aggregates in mortar and concrete production to alleviate the pressure on the scarce available natural resources. Sawdust composites are characterised by low mechanical performance, low durability and bad compatibility (Lei et al., 2006). Attempts have been made by researchers to overcome these weaknesses. Mixing with synthetic fibres, addition of additives and modification of sawdust and so on are some researches that have been conducted to overcome these weaknesses. The inclusion of sawdust in concrete and mortar production may not only mitigate environmental damage, but could also preserve the conventional concrete/mortar materials. It exhibits many benefits over the traditional concrete including reduction in weight of the structure (thereby reducing the dead loads transmitted to the foundation), high economy compared to normal weight concrete, reduced damage and prolonged life of formwork due to lower exerted pressure, easy handling, mixing and placing as compared with other types of concretes, improved absorbent properties due to its high void ratio (Dilip et al., 2014; Yong et al., 2013).

Notwithstanding these advantages, sawdust-concrete exhibits irregular setting times and poor adaptation to dimensional variation as major set-backs (Adeagbo, 1999).

EXPERIMENTAL PROGRAMME

Materials

Ordinary Portland cement with a 28-day compressive strength of 42.5 N/mm^2 was used in the study. River sand with maximum aggregate size of 2 mm and specific gravity of 2.53 was used as fine aggregate. Sawdust obtained from a saw-milling company was also used as partial replacement for the fine aggregates. Standard brick mortar with a mix design ratio of 1:3 (cement: sand) and w/c ratio of 0.55 was prepared for the experiment. The fine aggregate was partially replaced with the sawdust. The percentage replacements considered were 5, 10, 15, 20, 30 and 50. Approximately square wallets were also constructed using the prepared mortar as the jointing material.

Details of specimens

With each percentage replacement, 2 prisms (to be tested on the 28th day of curing), 9 cubes (to be tested on the 7th, 14th and 28th day of curing), and 3 wallets (to be tested on the 28th day of curing) were made. The total test samples comprised 28 prisms (40 mm x40 mm x 160 mm) for the flexural tensile strength test, 126 cubes

(100 mm) for compressive strength, and 42 wallets of approximate dimensions 1.2 m x 1.5 m were constructed for masonry compressive strength test. Fifty percent of all test specimens were cast from each mortar.

Preparation of specimens

A standard mix design ratio of 1:3 (cement: sand) with water-cement ratio of 0.55 was used to prepare the standard mortar. For each percentage replacement, a harsher (dry) mortar with rapid slump loss was obtained. In view of this a modified mortar with same workability (slump) as the control mortar was also prepared for the study. The underlying aim was to strike a reasonable balance between the workability, strength, durability and cost of mortar. The modified mortar had a constant slump of 74.3 mm (same as that of the control specimen) to make it workable, easily mixed and placed. The materials were batched by weight into a mixing bowl and thoroughly dry-mixed before water was added. With each percentage replacement the above procedures were repeated. Metallic and wooden moulds were used for the casting of the cubes and prisms respectively. Wallets were prepared from blocks of dimension 450 mm x 225 mm x 100 mm (l x b x h) and compressive strength of 15 N/mm^2 using 10 mm thick mortar joints.

Test procedures

The particle size distribution test was carried out on both sawdust and sand in accordance with BS EN 1015-1. The slump and bulk density of fresh mortar were determined in accordance with BS EN 1015-3 and BS EN 1015-6 respectively. In addition the bulk density (BS EN 1015-10), compressive strength, flexural tensile strength (BS EN 1015-11), water absorption (BS EN 1015-18) of hardened mortar, and masonry compressive strength (BS EN5628-1) were studied. The flexural tensile strength of the mortars was carried out by simply supporting the test specimens on a stiff steel frame in the Civil Engineering Laboratory of the KNUST, Kumasi. Monotonic loads were applied through a steel spreader beam to the test specimen at a rate of 0.10 kN/s. A typical loading configuration is also shown in Figure 1.

The compressive strength of masonry wallets was obtained from the ultimate strength of brickwork or block work panel tested to destruction in accordance with BS EN5628-1. The compressive strength of the masonry block units was determined. Three identical panels (approximately 1.2 m height x 1.5 m length) were constructed for each percentage replacement. Approximately square panels were chosen to avoid the problem of bending while being loaded. Also the steel frame structure could not accommodate panels of dimensions larger than what was chosen. The panels were loaded uniformly over the whole area of the top and bottom faces with the aid of a cross-head. A monotonic load was applied at a rate of 0.20 kN/s until the panel ruptured. A schematic sketch of the set-up is shown in Figure 2 while a typical loading configuration is shown in Figure 3. This was done for both mortar sets.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Aggregate grading

The sawdust as fine aggregate had sizes that ranged from 0.15 to 2 mm. The sand on the other hand had particles ranging from 0.075 to 2.0 mm. In terms of

**Figure 1.** Set up for flexural tensile strength test.

Figure 2. Schematic diagram of the experimental set-up.

Figure 3. Test Set-up for masonry wallets compressive strength.

particle size distribution both sand and the sawdust had similar properties. Percentages of the aggregates retained on each sieve were similar. For instance the highest percentage retained was 22.4 and 21.61% for the sand and sawdust, respectively, on the 0.3 mm sieve. Therefore with respect to the aggregate grading the addition of the sawdust was not expected to largely influence the properties of the mortar.

Figure 4. Grading curve for fine aggregates.

The grading curve for the sand and sawdust are presented in Figure 4.

Slump test

The workability of mortar/concrete is generally influenced by water content, cement content, aggregate grading and other physical characteristics, and admixtures (Mehta and Monteiro, 2006).

Comparatively, rough-textured, angular and elongated particles need more cement paste to produce workable masonry mixtures. The slump for the control mortar was 74.3 mm. It reduced consistently to 71.8, 63.65, 52.65, 48.95, 47.3, and 45.05 mm when the percentage of sawdust content increased to 5, 10, 15, 20, 30 and 50% respectively. This observation was also made by Shen et al. (2016) when they studied the influence of the various properties of river sand and manufactured sand on the properties of concrete. They found that high amount of fines, angular particle shape, and relatively higher void content of the manufactured sand resulted in concrete with higher water demand for the same slump. Also Qasrawi et al. (2009) acknowledged that concrete with more than 50% replacement of sand with steel slag had a negative impact on the workability of the concrete and therefore required more water to achieve a desirable workability. The low workability of the mortars could be attributed to the higher affinity for water by the sawdust. The woody nature of sawdust unlike the crystalline sand causes it to absorb water thereby reducing the free water available for hydration.

Compressive strength

There was a general reduction in the compressive strength for both sets as the percentage of sawdust increased. Similar observations have been made by

Alnuaimi (2012) and Rashad (2016) as the fine aggregate of concrete is partially replaced with other materials. Nevertheless the modified mortars always possessed relatively higher compressive strength for each replacement. For instance at 5% replacement, the 28th day compressive strengths for the modified and standard mortars were 7.45 and 6.99 N/mm^2 respectively. These values decreased steadily to 2.76 and 2.33 N/mm^2 respectively at 50% replacement (Tables 1 and 2). The sudden decline in the compressive strength (7.31 N/mm^2 at 0% to 1.13 N/mm^2 at 50%) in the standard mortar could be attributed to the inability to compact the mortar adequately due to poor workability. Strength resides in the solid part of a material; hence the presence of voids is inimical to strength development. In multiphase materials such as mortar, the porosity of each component of the material could be a source of strength degradation. Conventional (natural) aggregates are generally dense and strong due to their crystalline nature whilst sawdust is woody and soft. Figure 5 shows a plot of the 28th day compressive strength for each percentage replacement.

It has also been discovered that strength and permeability of hydrated cement pastes are mutually connected via capillary porosity, which is influenced by the water-cement ratio and the degree of hydration. As hydration process proceeds, the capillary pores get narrower and this tends to reduce the coefficient of absorption. Tables 1 and 2 indicate that as the sawdust content increased, the coefficient of absorption also increased whilst the compressive strength reduced. Concrete with high water absorption coefficient possesses lower strengths (Basar and Deveci, 2012).

Dry density

There was a general reduction in the bulk dry density which became more significant at higher sawdust contents (Tables 1 and 2). The modified mortar however

Table 1. Experimental results on standard mortar.

Replacement of sand with sawdust (%)	Slump	Dry density of hardened mortar (kg/m^3)	Water absorption coefficient (%)	28th day Comp. Strength (N/mm^2)	28th day Flexural Tensile Strength (N/mm^2)	5 months Comp. Strength (N/mm^2)
0	74.30	2128.91	11.97	7.52	2.54	7.33
5	71.80	1742.19	12.13	6.99	2.45	6.87
10	63.65	1432.29	12.96	6.72	2.13	6.51
15	52.65	1252.6	14.55	4.71	1.5	3.57
20	48.95	1071.61	16.72	4.02	1.13	2.87
30	47.30	964.84	18.92	2.61	1.01	2.40
50	45.05	878.91	20.54	2.33	0.47	1.04

Table 2. Experimental results on modified mortar.

| Replacement of sand with sawdust (%)	Slump (mm)	Dry density of hardened mortar (kg/m^3)	Water absorption coefficient (%)	28th day Comp. Strength (N/mm^2)	28th day Flexural Tensile Strength (N/mm^2)	5 months Comp. Strength (N/mm^2)
0	74.30	2130.02	11.97	7.52	2.56	7.73
5	74.30	1802.23	12.02	7.45	2.51	7.59
10	74.30	1504.14	12.36	7.23	2.25	7.34
15	74.30	1312.04	12.97	5.78	1.62	4.66
20	74.30	1104.54	13.69	4.92	1.34	2.97
30	74.30	1010.23	14.25	3.54	1.20	2.51
50	74.30	905.73	15.77	2.76	0.85	1.01

Figure 5. Compressive strength of standard and modified mortar.

had relatively higher (about 5 to 20%) dry density values for all the levels of replacement. Singh and Siddique (2014) made a similar observation and predicted the loss in compressive strength of concrete to vary linearly with the loss in mass while studying the properties of concrete incorporating coal bottom ash as partial or total replacement of sand.

Flexural tensile strength

The flexural tensile strength of mortars decreased with increasing sawdust content (Tables 1 and 2). In general, there was a significant improvement in the flexural tensile strength (2.56 N/mm^2 at 0% and 0.85 N/mm^2 at 50%) of the modified mortars compared with the standard mortar

Figure 6. 28th day flexural tensile strength of standard and modified mortar.

(2.54 N/mm^2 at 0% and 0.52 N/mm^2 at 50%). The material geometry also affects the tensile strength of the mortar. The sawdust specimen had some aggregate with elongated nature which is believed to have a positive influence on the flexural tensile strength of the mortar prism. Figure 6 presents the 28th day flexural tensile strength of both mortars.

An observation was also made that whereas factors leading to a decrease in the porosity of the mortar lead to a general improvement of both the compressive and flexural tensile strengths of mortar, the result depicts that the magnitude of decrease in the flexural tensile strength remained relatively higher as shown in Tables 1 and 2

Durability

Samples of test specimen for the compressive strength test were subjected to cycles of wet and dry conditions for a period of 5months and later tested for their compressive strength to assess the mortar durability. As expected, most cement based products exhibit increase in strength with age. There was an increase (about 4.7%) in compressive strength for the 5 and 10% replacements for the modified mortar. This could be attributed to the formation of more products of hydration which presumably filled up the few voids in the hardened mortar. However the other samples (15, 20, 30 and 50% of replacements) showed a decline in compressive strength (Tables 1 and 2). The cycles of expansion and contraction of the sawdust could have caused a dimensional instability which is believed to have weakened the sawdust-cement interfacial bond. The decline in the compressive strength could again be attributed to the higher water absorption at higher percentages of replacement. This indicates the presence of voids in the hardened mortar. The porous nature of the sawdust actually made the hardened mortar also porous

and weak at higher percentages of replacement. And since voids are inimical to strength development, the compressive strength declined as expected. Similar observations were made by Shafigh et al. (2014).

Masonry wallet compressive strength

Generally for each type of mortar, the masonry wallet compressive strength reduced with increased sawdust content. With no sawdust, both standard and modified mortars had masonry compressive strength of 6.41 N/mm^2. This reduced to 1.30 and 1.42 N/mm^2 at 50% replacement respectively as illustrated in Figure 7. Basically there was an increase in the masonry compressive strength for the modified mortar compared with the standard mortars for all replacements. With the exception of the 30 and 50% sawdust whose masonry wallets failed along the joint, the remaining percentage replacements for the modified mortar were characterized by failure cracks along the masonry units. With increase in consistency the bond strength at the block-mortar interface was presumably improved which led to an increase in the compressive strength of the masonry.

A comparative study of the masonry compressive strength of the specimen and the expected masonry compressive strength of BS 5628 reveals that 8 and 13% replacements with sawdust for the standard and modified mortars respectively gave a masonry compressive strength of 5.3 N/mm^2 which could be classified as Type II mortar of the same code.

Conclusion

Mortar prepared with sawdust as partial fine aggregates was investigated. Standard mortar of mix ratio of 1:3 and water/cement ratio of 0.55 adopted in the study resulted

**Masonry Comp. Strength of Both mortar type**

Figure 7. Compressive strengths wallets.

Figure 8. Crack patterns of failed wallets.

in inadequate workability. In view of that a modified mortar of better workability with constant slump of 74.3 mm was also prepared. The compressive strength and flexural tensile strength of the mortar and masonry compressive strength of wallets were assessed from test specimens. The results showed that the sawdust possessed the characteristics of a well-graded aggregate. The dry density, compressive strength and flexural tensile strength were observed to decrease with increasing sawdust content. A more porous mortar was produced with increased sawdust content. However the modified mortar was slightly impervious compared with the standard mortar. Therefore in terms of durability the modified mortar could be presumed to be more durable. At higher percentages of sawdust the crushing of the

cubes was not sudden compared to the control for both mortar types. Failure of masonry wallets for the modified mortar was characterized by cracking along the masonry units whilst that of the standard mortar was observed to fail along the brick-mortar joint. This can be observed in Figure 8. The better bonding in the case of the modified mortar could be attributed to the improved workability which led to better adhesion between the bricks and the mortar. On a micro-scale the better adhesion could be also be due to sawdust fibres penetrating into the block surfaces. The densities of both mortars decreased considerably with each percentage replacement. Low density mortar could be achieved by the partial replacement of the fines with sawdust. A thorough examination of the above results and discussions shows

that there is a possibility of replacing fine aggregates with sawdust in masonry mortar preparation. With 8 and 13% percentages of replacement, the standard and modified mortars respectively produced mortars with properties which compare adequately well with theoretical values of BS 5628:1992 Code.

CONFLICT OF INTERESTS

The authors have not declared any conflict of interests.

REFERENCES

Acheampong A, Kankam CK, Ayarkwa J (2016). Shear behaviour of palm kernel shell reinforced concrete beams without shear Reinforcement: Influence of beam depth and tension steel. J. Civil Eng. Constr. Technol. 7:8-19.

Adeagbo DO (1999). Effect of Water-Cement Ratio on the Properties of Sandcrete Cubes when Artially Replaced with Sawdust. J. Eng. Sci. 3:187-192.

Afshinnia K, Rangaraju PR (2016). Impact of combined use of ground glass powder and crushed glass aggregate on selected properties of Portland cement concrete. Constr. Build. Mater. 117:263-272.

Ali M, Li X, Chouw N (2013). Experimental investigations on bond strength between coconut fibre and concrete. Mater. Des. 44:596-605.

Alnuaimi AS (2012). Effects of Copper Slag as a Replacement for Fine Aggregate on the Behavior and Ultimate Strength of Reinforced Concrete Slender Columns 90-102.

Antiohos SK, Papadakis VG, Tsimas S (2014). Rice husk ash (RHA) effectiveness in cement and concrete as a function of reactive silica and fineness. Cem. Concr. Res. 61:20-27.

Basar HM, Deveci AN (2012). The effect of waste foundry sand (WFS) as partial replacement of sand on the mechanical, leaching and micro-structural characteristics of ready-mixed concrete. Constr. Build. Mater. 35:508-515.

Berra M, Mangialardi T, Paolini AE (2015). Reuse of woody biomass fly ash in cement-based materials. Constr. Build. Mater. 76:286-296.

Cheah CB, Ramli M (2011). The implementation of wood waste ash as a partial cement replacement material in the production of structural grade concrete and mortar: An overview. Resour. Conserv. Recycl. 55:669-685.

Dehwah HAF (2012). Corrosion resistance of self-compacting concrete incorporating quarry dust powder, silica fume and fly ash. Constr. Build. Mater. Non Destructive Techniques for Assessment of Concrete 37:277-282.

Dilip K, Smita S, Neetesh K, Ashish G (2014). Low Cost Construction Material for Concrete as Sawdust. Glob. J. Res. Eng. 14:4.

Galetakis M, Piperidi C, Vasiliou A, Alevizos G, Steiakakis E, Komnitsas K, Soultana A (2016). Experimental investigation of the utilization of quarry dust for the production of microcement-based building elements by self-flowing molding casting. Constr. Build. Mater. 107:247-254.

Gameiro F, de Brito J, Correia da Silva D (2014). Durability performance of structural concrete containing fine aggregates from waste generated by marble quarrying industry. Eng. Struct. 59:654-662.

Gastaldi D, Canonico F, Capelli L, Buzzi L, Boccaleri E, Irico S (2015). An investigation on the recycling of hydrated cement from concrete demolition waste. Cem. Concr. Compos. 61:29-35.

Horsakulthai V, Phiuvanna S, Kaenbud W (2011). Investigation on the corrosion resistance of bagasse-rice husk-wood ash blended cement concrete by impressed voltage. Constr. Build. Mater. 25:54-60.

Jelle M, Prachi S, Ria K (2001). Sokoban Wood Village Project in Kumasi, Ghana.

Lei W, Deng Y, Zhou M, Xuan L, Feng Q, 2006. Mechanical properties of nano SiO2 filled gypsum particleboard. Trans. Nonferrous Met. Soc. China 16:361-364.

Mehta PK, Monteiro PJM (2006). Concrete-Microstructure, Properties, and Materials. McGraw-Hill Publishing, 2006.

Qasrawi H, Shalabi F, Asi I (2009). Use of low CaO unprocessed steel slag in concrete as fine aggregate. Constr. Build. Mater. 23:1118-1125.

Ramos T, Matos AM, Sousa-Coutinho J (2013). Mortar with wood waste ash: Mechanical strength carbonation resistance and ASR expansion. Constr. Build. Mater. 49:343-351.

Rashad AM (2016). A comprehensive overview about recycling rubber as fine aggregate replacement in traditional cementitious materials. Int. J. Sustain. Built Environ. 5:46-82.

Sarkar M, Asaduzzaman M, Das AK, Hannan MO, Shams MI (2012). Mechanical properties and dimensional stability of cement bonded particleboard from rice husk and sawdust. Bangladesh J. Sci. Ind. Res. 47:273-278.

Shafigh P, Mahmud H, Jumaat MZ, Ahmmad R, Bahri S (2014). Structural lightweight aggregate concrete using two types of waste from the palm oil industry as aggregate. J. Cleaner Prod. 80:187-196.

Shen W, Yang Z, Cao L, Cao L, Liu Y, Yang H, Lu Z, Bai J (2016). Characterization of manufactured sand: Particle shape, surface texture and behavior in concrete. Constr. Build. Mater. 114:595-601.

Singh M, Siddique R (2014). Compressive strength, drying shrinkage and chemical resistance of concrete incorporating coal bottom ash as partial or total replacement of sand. Constr. Build. Mater. 68:39-48.

Torkaman J, Ashori A, Sadr Momtazi A (2014). Using wood fiber waste, rice husk ash, and limestone powder waste as cement replacement materials for lightweight concrete blocks. Constr. Build. Mater. 50:432-436.

Turgut P (2007). Cement composites with limestone dust and different grades of wood sawdust. Build. Environ. 42:3801-3807.

Yong C, Wen Y, Chaoyong Z, Huanhuan L, Jian H (2013). The implementation of waste sawdust in concrete. Engineering 5(12):943-947.