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ABSTRACT

In this study, we examine the impact of financial leverage on profitability of recapitalized banks in Ghana over the period 2008-2017. Based on the random effects and fixed effects estimation strategies, our findings reveal that leverage exerts a significant negative effect on banks’ profits regardless of the proxy of profitability. This provides empirical support for the pecking order theory. The results also establish that bank size positively and significantly enhances profitability. In light of our findings, we conclude that financial leverage is detrimental to banks’ profit growth in Ghana.
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INTRODUCTION

Globally, the banking sector has undergone significant transformations for the past decades with banks activities and performance being influenced by both internal and external factors. Banks generally contribute to economic growth through their intermediation functions and the financing of economic activities (Ünvan & Yakubu, 2020). A central aspect of banks which aids in smoothening economic activities is the level of profitability. The significance of banks’ profit growth is manifested at both the micro (bank characteristics) and macro levels. Profitability at the bank level is viewed as a prerequisite for competitiveness and ensuring a sustainable banking institution. Owing to banking sector competition in recent years, profits are essential to sustaining competition in the industry and necessary for continual operations (Bobakova, 2003). In the
macroeconomic setting, negative shocks immensely affect bank activities, and a profitable banking sector can absorb these shocks. Thus, contributing to a stable financial system.

Given the significance of bank profitability, the factors affecting profit growth have gained research attention and have interested bank management and regulators. The existing literature has highlighted how bank-specific factors, industry-level indicators, and macroeconomic environment affect banks profit level in both developed and developing economies (Sufian & Habibullah, 2009; Davydenko, 2010; Dietrich & Wanzenried, 2011; Sufian, 2012; Roman & Danuletiu, 2013; Dietrich & Wanzenried, 2014; Echekoba et al., 2014; Messai et al., 2015; Menicucci & Paolucci, 2016; Yakubu, 2016; Islam & Rana, 2017; Oyerinde, 2017; Salike & Ao, 2018; Akber, 2019; Batten & Vo, 2019; Yakubu, 2019; Bezawada, 2020; Hasan et al., 2020; Hoque et al., 2020).

In the profitability determinants literature, capital structure (financial leverage) is among the variables accorded significance and has been extensively examined. Nonetheless, a consensus on how it influences profitability is yet to be established given the varying impact on profit across sectors. Besides, studies on how financial leverage drive profitability dwell more on non-financial firms (see Azhagaiah & Gavoury, 2011; Anthony, 2013; Mwangi et al., 2014; Rouf, 2015; Yapa, 2015; Habib et al., 2016; Akani & Ifechi, 2017; Akomeah et al., 2018; Rahman et al., 2019; Musah & Kong, 2019) with little focus on financial firms. Particularly in Ghana, scanty evidence is documented in the case of banks, hence presenting an avenue for more research efforts. The empirical works of Gatsi and Akoto (2010), Awunyo-Vitor and Badu (2012), Thomas et al. (2012), and Yakubu et al. (2017) are among the few studies on how capital structure (leverage) affects banks profitability in Ghana. Aside from the time limitations of these studies, the use of a single proxy of profitability may not produce robust findings.

Consequently, this study seeks to add to the limited attempts by critically investigating the influence of leverage on profitability of Ghanaian banks. Our paper significantly makes two contributions to the extant literature. First, in addition to return on assets which is widely studied, we introduce net interest margin as an additional profitability proxy to ensure the robustness of our results. Second, unlike other studies, we employ recent data of the newly recapitalized banks in Ghana.

The rest of our paper is structured as follows: In Section 2, we elaborate on the literature pertaining to our study. Section 3 explains our data and approach. The empirical analysis is outlined in Section 4, and we conclude in Section 5.

LITERATURE REVIEW

Theoretically, how capital structure influences firm value has received much debate in the literature. The central argument relates to whether firms maintain an ideal level of capital structure or whether using more debt matters for firm value. The formative work of Modigliani and Miller (1958) inspired the research on capital structure and presented the framework for the emergence of other theories in capital structure studies. Modigliani and Miller presumed that capital structure does not in any way contribute to the value of firms. Hence, assuming irrelevancy of capital structure. This claim of the M&M model is underpinned with some assumptions considered restrictive and unrealistic. The theory assumed a perfect capital market, firms operating with zero taxes, and the absence of transaction costs. Following the criticisms of the irrelevancy assumption, Modigliani and Miller in 1963 revised their previous claim to reflect that debt matters for firm value. They incorporated the benefit of tax-shields in the use of debt. M&M (1963) opined that firms can maximize value by employing a higher level of leverage.
In advancement to the M&M (1963) theory, is the trade-off hypothesis. It assumes that firms can ensure value maximization by maintaining a debt structure that brings tax benefits and reduces bankruptcy risk (Myers, 1984). Hence, the trade-off theory advocates for an optimum level of capital structure for firms. On the contrary, the proposition by Myers and Majluf (1984) referred to as the pecking order theory hypothesizes a preferential order which firms must adhere to in their capital structure decisions. First, internal financing is prioritized, and firms will employ debt when external funding is required. Issuing equity is the last financing strategy by firms under the pecking order hypothesis.

Turning to the empirical works, the influence of leverage on firms’ profitability is mixed as findings vary across sectors. In this section, we review the empirical studies for both non-financial firms and financial firms.

In the case of non-financial firms, Shubita and Alsawalhah (2012) for instance, assessed how capital structure relates to profits of listed industrial firms in Jordan for the period 2004-2009. The authors found a significant and negative influence of leverage on firms' profit growth. Similarly, Anthony (2013) reported a negative and significant effect of leverage on the Ghanaian non-financial firms’ profit level. Applying a panel approach, Mwangi et al. (2014) empirically studied the association between debt and firms’ level of performance in Kenyan non-financial companies. The findings reported financial leverage to have a negative significant effect on firms’ profits (proxied by return on asset and firms’ return on equity). Yapa (2015) noted that long-term debt to total assets which measures firms’ leverage negatively drives the profitability of SMEs operating in the UK. Habib et al. (2016) showed that regardless of the firms’ capital structure indicator, the profitability of Pakistani non-financial firms is negatively and significantly predicted by their leverage level. Minnema and Anderson (2018) also documented an indirect correlation between leverage and Swedish firms' profitability level. Contrary to the negative findings, Kartikasari and Merianti (2016) evidenced that the impact of debt ratio on the profit growth of Indonesian manufacturing firms is positive and significant. A similar result is found by Rahman et al. (2019) in Bangladesh. Musah and Kong (2019) established that the ratios of debt-to-equity and firms’ debt-to-total assets as capital structure indicators showed a direct though an insignificant impact on non-financial firms’ profitability in Ghana.

For financial institutions, Goyal (2013) investigated how banks’ debt level affect profitability in India for the period 2008-2014. Using different proxies of profitability, the author established a direct effect of short-term debt on profits. Birru (2016) indicated that banks’ debt ratio positively and significantly influences banks' profitability. In establishing the nexus between capital structure and firm performance, Abbadi and Abu-Rub (2012) revealed a negative influence of leverage on banks' profitability and market value in Palestine. Chechet and Olayiwola (2014) also showed that a negative correlation exists between capital structure and Nigerian banks’ profits growth. In Ghana, Thomas et al. (2012) noted that while banks long-term debt and firms’ profitability have a positive significant relationship, the effect of short-term debt and total debt on banks' profit level is negative though significant. Anafo et al. (2015) reported that banks' profitability (measured by ROA) is positively driven by both banks’ short-term and long-term debt levels. This is contrary to the results reported by Yakubu et al. (2017) who evidenced a negative influence of short-term and long-term debt on bank profits.

From the literature review, the effect of capital structure (leverage) on profit growth for both non-financial and financial firms is inconclusive. The measure of leverage and the different proxies of profitability may account for the inconsistent findings. This paper seeks to add to the unsettling debate and the limited research on the effect of leverage on banks' profits in Ghana.
METHODOLOGY

Sample and Data
In this study, we employ data of eleven recapitalized banks covering the period 2008-2017. Seven of the sampled banks are foreign banks and four comprised of domestic banks. We gleaned the data from the banks' financial statements. Complete data availability informed the choice of our sample and the study period.

Description of Variables
We employ return on assets (ROA) and net interest margin (NIM) as dependent factors. ROA is defined as the ratio of banks' net income to total assets. NIM is computed as the ratio of banks' net interest to total assets.

Leverage (LEV) serves as the main independent variable which is defined as the ratio of total debts to total assets. We control for the effect of bank size (BSIZE) which has also been accorded significance in the literature as a profitability driver. The natural log of banks’ total assets explains bank size.

Model and Estimation Technique
This study takes a panel approach and the model can be generally expressed as:

\[ Y_{it} = \alpha + \beta'X_{it} + \varepsilon_{it} \]  

where the dependent factor is Y and X signifies the explanatory factors. The cross-sectional dimension of our data is denoted by \( i \) and the time dimension is indicated by \( t \). \( \alpha, \beta, \) and \( \varepsilon \) represent the constant, coefficients of the independent factors, and error term respectively.

To empirically analyze the effect of financial leverage alongside bank size on bank profitability, the model can be further expanded as:

\[ \text{ROA}_{it} = \alpha_0 + \beta_1 \text{LEV}_{it} + \beta_2 \text{BSIZE}_{it} + \varepsilon_{it} \]  
\[ \text{NIM}_{it} = \alpha_0 + \beta_1 \text{LEV}_{it} + \beta_2 \text{BSIZE}_{it} + \varepsilon_{it} \]

We apply the fixed and random effects techniques as our estimation strategies. These techniques consider the group and time effects of panel data which is ignored in the ordinary least squares (OLS) estimation. To choose an appropriate technique, we perform the Hausman (1978) test. A probability value (p-value) of less than 5% statistical significance suggests the preference of the fixed effects technique, and thus the random effects assumptions are rejected. A p-value greater than 5% means rejection of the fixed effects model, and the OLS or the random effects model is chosen based on the Breusch–Pagan test results.

EMPIRICAL RESULTS

Descriptive Statistics and Correlation Analysis
Table 1 displays the descriptive statistics of all the variables for our sample banks. It illustrates the average, standard deviation, minimum, and maximum values of the variables estimated from the banks’ financial statements. Return on assets (ROA) shows an average of 4.3% which has a maximum of 9.3% and a minimum of -4.7%. The mean of banks’ net interest margin (NIM) is 8.1%. The low standard deviation values of ROA and NIM relative to their averages depict less volatility in the banks’ profits. Leverage (LEV) reveals a mean of 0.853, suggesting that the banks
are highly leveraged, and employ about 85.3% debt to finance banks' total assets. Bank size (BSIZE) is averaged at 14.28.

The correlation analysis is also presented in Table 1. We argue that our variables are free from multicollinearity issues given their weak association and high tolerance values.

Table 1. Descriptive statistics and multicollinearity analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>0.043</td>
<td>0.081</td>
<td>0.853</td>
<td>14.283</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Std Dev.</td>
<td>0.028</td>
<td>0.024</td>
<td>0.043</td>
<td>0.885</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum</td>
<td>0.093</td>
<td>0.160</td>
<td>0.956</td>
<td>16.080</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum</td>
<td>-0.047</td>
<td>0.030</td>
<td>0.690</td>
<td>12.070</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Observations</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>110</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Regression Results

The regression results on leverage and bank profitability relationship are contained in Table 2. From the estimations in Model 1, the fixed effects (FE) technique is rejected as the probability value of the Hausman test exceeds 5% significance level. The significance of the Breusch-pagan test at 5% level lends support to the random effects technique. Hence, the interpretations of our findings in Model 1 is based on the estimation of the random effects (RE). The 5% significance of the Hausman test in Model 2 suggests the use of the fixed effects estimation. In Models 1 and 2, the $R^2$ values respectively illustrate that the explanatory factors predict only 14.9% and 39.3% variations in banks’ profitability. The Wald test of the random effects estimation in Model 1 and the F-statistics of the fixed effects estimation in Model 2 prove that our estimated models are valid.

Table 2. Regression results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Model 1 (ROA)</th>
<th>Model 2 (NIM)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FE</td>
<td>RE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LEV</td>
<td>-0.183***</td>
<td>-0.181***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.058)</td>
<td>(0.055)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BSIZE</td>
<td>0.005*</td>
<td>0.006**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.003)</td>
<td>(0.003)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>0.126*</td>
<td>0.105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.074)</td>
<td>(0.068)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diagnostics</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R^2$</td>
<td>0.151</td>
<td>0.149</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hausman test $\chi^2$</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>6.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breusch-Pagan test $\lambda^2$</td>
<td>27.93</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
From the estimation in Model 1, leverage significantly and negatively affect banks' profitability (measured by ROA) at 1% significance level. A similar result is reported in Model 2 when net interest margin (NIM) proxies banks' profitability. The finding generally portrays that as Ghanaian banks demand more on debt as a financing method, their profit level reduces. A plausible implication is that banks may tend to spend more of their profits on servicing the interest on debt and thus retaining less funds to carry out their activities. As established in the descriptive statistics, the sampled banks are highly leveraged, and more of their earnings are likely to be directed to interest payments. Our finding supports prior studies (Abbadi & Abu-Rub, 2012; Chechet & Olayiwola, 2014; Yakubu et al., 2017).

In both models, bank size positively and significantly affects profitability. This means that as banks expand, their profitability level is enhanced. This is consistent with the rapid branch expansion strategies of commercial banks in Ghana. The result also syncs with the argument that diversification strategies are easily undertaken by larger banks which help in boosting profits (Yakubu, 2019).

**CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

In this study, we empirically examined the effect of leverage on banks’ profit growth in Ghana while controlling for bank size. We employed the panel random effects and fixed effects techniques on bank-level data of eleven recently recapitalized banks in Ghana. Unlike prior studies, this paper considered two key measures of profitability to ensure consistent findings. From the analysis, we found that leverage negatively and significantly affects banks' profitability regardless of our indicator of profitability. This lends support for the pecking order theory. Bank size exerted a positive significant influence on both profitability measures. In essence, we conclude that while bank size is vital for banks’ profits, leverage impedes the profitability of Ghanaian banks. Based on these findings, we recommend that banks should resort to internal financing to fund their projects and operations given that leverage inhibits their profitability. Banks can also ensure profit growth by improving their asset base via branch expansion strategies.
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